

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

**ERICSSON INC. and  
TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM  
ERICSSON,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**APPLE INC.,**

**Defendant.**

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:15-cv-289**

**DISCOVERY ORDER**

After a review of the pleaded claims and defenses in this action, in furtherance of the management of the Court's docket under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, and after receiving the input of the parties to this action, it is ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Initial Disclosures.** In lieu of the disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1), each party shall disclose to every other party the following information:
  - (a) the correct names of the parties to the lawsuit;
  - (b) the name, address, and telephone number of any potential parties;
  - (c) the legal theories and, in general, the factual bases of the disclosing party's claims or defenses (the disclosing party need not marshal all evidence that may be offered at trial);
  - (d) the name, address, and telephone number of persons having knowledge of relevant facts, a brief statement of each identified person's connection with the

case, and a brief, fair summary of the substance of the information known by any such person;

- (e) any indemnity and insuring agreements under which any person or entity carrying on an insurance business may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment entered in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment;
- (f) any settlement agreements relevant to the subject matter of this action; and
- (g) any statement of any party to the litigation.

**2. Disclosure of Expert Testimony.** A party must disclose to the other parties the identity of any witness it may use at trial to present evidence under Federal Rule of Evidence 702, 703 or 705, and:

- (a) if the witness is one retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony in the case or one whose duties as the party's employee regularly involve giving expert testimony, provide the disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) and Local Rule CV-26; and
- (b) for all other such witnesses, provide the disclosure required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(C).

**3. Additional Disclosures.** Without awaiting a discovery request,<sup>1</sup> each party will make the following disclosures to every other party:

- (a) provide the disclosures required by the Patent Rules for the Eastern District of Texas with the following modifications to P.R. 3-1 and P.R. 3-3:

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court anticipates that this disclosure requirement will obviate the need for requests for production.

**P.R. 3-1(g):** If a party claiming patent infringement asserts that a claim element is a software limitation, the party need not comply with P.R. 3-1 for those claim elements until 30 days after source code for each Accused Instrumentality is produced by the opposing party. Thereafter, the party claiming patent infringement shall identify, on an element-by-element basis for each asserted claim, what source code of each Accused Instrumentality allegedly satisfies the software limitations of the asserted claim elements.

**P.R. 3-3(e):** If a party claiming patent infringement exercises the provisions of P.R. 3-1(g), the party opposing a claim of patent infringement may serve, not later than 30 days after receipt of a P.R. 3-1(g) disclosure, supplemental “Invalidity Contentions” that amend only those claim elements identified as software limitations by the party claiming patent infringement.

- (b) produce or permit the inspection of all documents, electronically stored information, and tangible things in the possession, custody, or control of the party that are relevant to the pleaded claims or defenses involved in this action, except to the extent these disclosures are affected by the time limits set forth in the Patent Rules for the Eastern District of Texas; and
- (c) provide a complete computation of any category of damages claimed by any party to the action, and produce or permit the inspection of documents or other evidentiary material on which such computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered, except that the disclosure of the computation of damages may be deferred until the time for Expert Disclosures if a party will rely on a damages expert.

- 4. **Protective Orders.** The Court will enter the parties’ Agreed Protective Order.
- 5. **Discovery Limitations.** The discovery in this cause is limited to the disclosures described in Paragraphs 1-3 together with:

- Each side<sup>2</sup> is allowed to serve 40 interrogatories.
- Each side is allowed to serve 100 requests for admission, plus an unlimited number of requests for admission for the authentication of documents.
- For party witnesses other than testifying experts, each side is allowed up to 85 hours of party deposition testimony (including depositions taken pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) and (1)).
- For non-party witnesses, each side is allowed up to 85 hours of non-party deposition testimony (including depositions taken pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) and (1)).
- Each side is allowed to take depositions on written questions, including depositions on written questions for custodians of business records of third parties.
- Each side is allowed up to 7 hours of deposition testimony of each testifying expert for each report on a separate subject (e.g. if an expert opines on infringement and validity, 14 hours of deposition testimony would be permitted) with a maximum deposition time of 14 hours for each expert.

Any party may later move to modify these limitations for good cause.

- 6. Privileged Information.** There is no duty to disclose privileged documents or information. However, the parties are directed to meet and confer concerning privileged documents or information after the Status Conference. By the deadline set in the Docket Control Order, the parties shall exchange privilege logs identifying the documents or information and the basis for any disputed claim of privilege in a manner that, without

---

<sup>2</sup> As used herein, “side” means a party or a group of parties with a common interest.

revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the other parties to assess the applicability of the privilege or protection. Any party may move the Court for an order compelling the production of any documents or information identified on any other party's privilege log. If such a motion is made, the party asserting privilege shall respond to the motion within the time period provided by Local Rule CV-7. The party asserting privilege shall then file with the Court within 30 days of the filing of the motion to compel any proof in the form of declarations or affidavits to support their assertions of privilege, along with the documents over which privilege is asserted for *in camera* inspection.

**7. Signature.** The disclosures required by this Order shall be made in writing and signed by the party or counsel and shall constitute a certification that, to the best of the signer's knowledge, information and belief, such disclosure is complete and correct as of the time it is made. If feasible, counsel shall meet to exchange disclosures required by this Order; otherwise, such disclosures shall be served as provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5. The parties shall promptly file a notice with the Court that the disclosures required under this Order have taken place.

**8. Duty to Supplement.** After disclosure is made pursuant to this Order, each party is under a duty to supplement or correct its disclosures **immediately** if the party obtains information on the basis of which it knows that the information disclosed was either incomplete or incorrect when made, or is no longer complete or true.

**9. Discovery Disputes.**

(a) Except in cases involving claims of privilege, any party entitled to receive disclosures ("Requesting Party") may, after the deadline for making disclosures,

serve upon a party required to make disclosures (“Responding Party”) a written statement, in letter form or otherwise, of any reason why the Requesting Party believes that the Responding Party’s disclosures are insufficient. The written statement shall list, by category, the items the Requesting Party contends should be produced. The parties shall promptly meet and confer. If the parties are unable to resolve their dispute, then the Responding Party shall, within 14 days after service of the written statement upon it, serve upon the Requesting Party a written statement, in letter form or otherwise, which identifies (1) the requested items that will be disclosed, if any, and (2) the reasons why any requested items will not be disclosed. The Requesting Party may thereafter file a motion to compel.

- (b) In addition to the requirements of Local Rule CV-7(h) and (i), an opposed discovery-related motion must include a certification that an **in-person** conference involving lead and local counsel for all parties to the discovery dispute was held.
- (c) Counsel are directed to contact the chambers of the undersigned for any “hot-line” disputes before contacting the Discovery Hotline provided by Local Rule CV-26(e). If the undersigned is not available, the parties shall proceed in accordance with Local Rule CV-26(e).

**10. No Excuses.** A party is not excused from the requirements of this Discovery Order because it has not fully completed its investigation of the case, or because it challenges the sufficiency of another party’s disclosures, or because another party has not made its

disclosures. Absent court order to the contrary, a party is not excused from disclosure because there are pending motions to dismiss, to remand or to change venue.

**11. Filings.** Only upon request from chambers shall counsel submit to the court courtesy copies of any filings.

**12. Proposed Stipulations by the Parties Regarding Discovery:**

The parties agree to the following stipulations regarding discovery:

- The parties agree to work in good faith to schedule party depositions (including depositions taken pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) and (1)) such that witnesses that are expected to provide testimony applicable to more than one pending matter between the parties (including N.D. Cal. Case No. 3:15-cv-154; E.D. Tex. Case Nos. 2:15-cv-287, 2:15-cv-288, 2:15-cv-289, 2:15-cv-290, 2:15-cv-291, 2:15-cv-292, 2:15-cv-293; and ITC Inv. Nos. 337-TA-952 and 337-TA-953), e.g., licensing or financial witnesses, inventors, prosecuting attorneys, in-house prosecution staff, etc. only sit for a single day of deposition. The parties agree to avoid seeking duplicative deposition testimony where reasonably possible. Nothing herein will preclude a party from designating a deposition for more than one matter.
- Counsel need not preserve drafts of expert reports (partial or complete), notes, and other evidence of communications with experts on the subject of the expert's actual or potential testimony. Further, the parties agree that neither party need produce communications between counsel and expert witnesses, communications between an expert and others, including staff members, who work at the direction of the expert to support the expert, or drafts of expert reports.

- The parties may serve documents (both filings and non-filings) by email, or, if too voluminous, by FTP or other internet file transfer service.
- The parties will use best efforts to serve by email all documents filed under seal within an hour.
- Neither party is required to log privileged materials dated after January 12, 2015, the date on which Apple filed its complaint in the Northern District of California (the “cut-off date”) in Case No. 3:15-cv-154. In addition, privileged materials created by or on behalf of outside litigation counsel and communications with litigation counsel, regardless of their date, do not need to be included on any privilege log. This does not include materials prepared by or on behalf of the law firms representing the parties in their capacity as prosecution counsel. The parties reserve the right to request logs of specific kinds of privileged materials otherwise excluded by the foregoing where good cause exists.

The parties agree to and request that the Court enter the following Order in accordance with Fed. R. Evid. 502(d):

The production or disclosure of documents, information, or other materials (including physical objects) by a party or third-party in connection with this proceeding is subject to the provisions of Rule 502(d), which provides that any production or disclosure of any information (whether inadvertent or otherwise) that is subject to any applicable privilege or protection (including, but not limited to, attorney-client privilege, common interest privilege, work product immunity, or any other applicable privilege or immunity) shall not be deemed a waiver of any privilege or protection in connection with the litigation pending before this



Court, and shall not serve as a waiver in any other federal or state proceeding. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 502(d). The production or disclosure of materials or information in connection with the proceeding, whether by the parties or third parties, shall not be deemed a publication so as to void or make voidable whatever claim a party may have as to the proprietary and confidential nature of the documents, information or other material or its contents.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**SIGNED this 17th day of June, 2015.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ROY S. PAYNE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE